



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/942,402	10/01/97	JOHNSON	K MNERAME.005A

WM31/0907  
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR  
620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE  
16TH FLOOR  
NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660

EXAMINER	
WRIGHT, N	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2131	36

DATE MAILED: 09/07/01

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

*Please see attached: PTO-413, (A, B).*

*07.4* *9/7/01*  
**NORMAN M. WRIGHT  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

*A-213)*

# Interview Summary

Application No.

08 142,402

Applicant(s)

Johnson et al.

Examiner

N. Wright

Group Art Unit

2131

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. J. Carson (appl. rep.) (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Mr. N. Wright (Examiner) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 9/7/01

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 2, 1A

Identification of prior art discussed: 2, 1A

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant representative had a computer media hand delivered to the office by Kantwell & Harris to Art 2763, containing the computer program listing. A subsequent search failed to produce the media, nor any PTO personnel knowledge of said same. Permission was given to delete said program listing from the specification. The listing may later be submitted on a media as a 312 amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

N. Wright  
**NORMAN M. WRIGHT**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
Art 2131

# Interview Summary

Application No.

081942,402

Applicant(s)

Johnson &amp; J.

Examiner

N. Wright

Group Art Unit

2131

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. N. Wright (Exmr.)

(3)

(2) Mr. J. Carson (App. rep.)

(4)

Date of Interview 9/5/01 and 9/7/01

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed:

Identification of prior art discussed:

N/A

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

On 9/5/01, the examiner informed applicant's rep. that the program media was not received as stated in an interview w/ G. Hayes, SPE 2131. App. rep. stated that it was hand delivered to AH 2756. After contacting AH 2756, no person had possession of said media, nor knowledge of it.

On 9/7/01 9/7/01, left a message indicating program listing must be deleted or application pulled from allowed files.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

NORMAN WRIGHT  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

AH 2131

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.